

From: Michael <michael@theyfly.com>
Date: April 3, 2004 8:42:56 AM PST
To: SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, "alexi", derek@iigwest.com, randi@randi.org, Vaughn@cfiwest.org, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>
Subject: Re: CHECKMATE: RANDI WITHDRAWS CLAIMS AGAINST MEIER CASE!

Well, well, Alexi is attempting to substitute bravado for content. Too bad, you actually got a good start in your last letter when you admitted your assumptions and, surprisingly, asked an intelligent question.

But apparently your desire to strut around like a child in grownup's clothing got the best of you.

I mistakenly thought you wanted to learn something, you don't. Your immaturity is once again intolerable.

Bye-bye, and for the record:

Scientific Experts' Comments on Meier's Evidence

From Author Gary Kinder's "Open Letter to the UFO Community"

David Froning: At the time, Dr. Froning had already spent 25 years as an aeronautical engineer at McDonnell Douglas in highly classified military defense and, in 1979, became interested in Meier's accounts of Plejaren starship travel, which mentioned tachyon propulsion. Dr. Froning found Meier's account of tachyon propulsion (which was only beginning to be discussed by a very small and select group of theoretical physicists), and his calculations for above light speed travel to be amazing. In 1983, he was pursuing his Quantum Interstellar Ramjet idea (JBIS vol. 33, no. 7, July 1980; AIAA 81-1533, July 1981; IAF-85-492, October, 1985) and plugged in his Quantum Ramjet performance equations, assuming: a given starship density, vacuum energy conversion efficiency (in transforming positrons and electrons within the quantum vacuum into photons), and vacuum energy conversion scales of distance of the order of the Compton wavelength. The resulting vehicle acceleration enabled achievement of almost light speed in about 4.3 hours and deceleration from light speed in about 4.3 hours. Meier said that the elapsed time during the "hyperspace jump" took only several seconds. Thus, trip time between the Pleiades star cluster and Earth with Froning's slower-than-light Quantum Ramjet Drive plus a hypothetical tachyon drive would be 8.6 hours, which was within 20% of the Plejaren trip time reported by Meier. But, while Froning's calculations were based on many arbitrary assumptions, and in no way proved the truthfulness of Meier's account (since it was a theoretical system he was working on, only time will tell as to which are correct) Froning was somewhat startled that his arbitrary flight time computations were within 20% of the flight time mentioned by Meier. Regarding the Meier material, Dr. Froning also publicly stated that, "My colleagues and I may have made breakthroughs in our understanding of possibilities and ways for traveling faster than light from Billy Meier's accounts of his encounters with the Plejarens."

Eric Eliason: U.S. Geological Survey in Flagstaff, Arizona, created image-processing software so astrogeologists can analyze photographs of planets beamed back from space, spent two years producing the intricate radar map of cloud-covered Venus acquired by Pioneer 10. "In the photographs there were no sharp breaks where you could see it had been somehow artificially dubbed. And if that dubbing was registered in the film, the computer would have seen it. We didn't see anything."

Robert Post: JPL photo laboratory for 22 years, was the head of that lab in 1979, and oversaw the developing and printing of every photograph that came out of JPL at the time: "From a photography standpoint, you couldn't see anything that was fake about the Meier photos. That's what struck me. They looked like legitimate photographs. I thought, 'God, if this is real, this is going to be really something.'"

Dr. Michael Malin: Principal investigator for the Mars Orbiter Camera on NASA's Mars Global Surveyor spacecraft at Malin Space Science Systems (MSSS), San Diego, CA. Analyzed Meier's photographs in 1981: "I find the photographs themselves credible, they're good photographs. They appear to represent a real phenomenon. The story that some farmer in Switzerland is on a first name basis with dozens of aliens who come to visit him ... I find that incredible. But I find the photographs more credible. They're reasonable evidence of something. What that something is I don't know." Malin also said, "If the photographs are hoaxes then I am intrigued by the quality of the hoax. How did he do it? I'm always interested in seeing a master at work."

Steve Ambrose: Sound engineer for Stevie Wonder, inventor of the Micro Monitor radio set and speaker that fits inside Wonder's ear, analyzed the Meier sound recordings of one of the UFO's as it hovered above him. Not only was he unable to duplicate the sounds with synthesizers, he found they created totally unique patterns on a spectrum analyzer and on the oscilloscope. Another sound engineer named Nils Rognerud corroborated Ambrose's findings. Think about this for just a moment, these experts, using state-of-the-art equipment, were unable to duplicate the sounds and the unique patterns they generated.

Wally Gentleman: Director of Special Effects on the Canadian Film Board for ten years, director of special photographic effects for Stanley Kubrick's film 2001, had viewed Meier's 8mm film segments of the UFO's. Showed that the manpower and costs to fake the films were clearly beyond Meier's reach: "My greatest problem is that for anybody faking this" (referring to one of the photographs) "the shadow that is thrown onto that tree is correct. Therefore, if somebody is faking it they have an expert there. And being an expert myself, I know that that expert knowledge is very hard to come by. So I say, 'Well, is that expert knowledge there or isn't it there?' Because if the expert knowledge isn't there, this has got to be real."

Nippon TV: Did their own examination and also came to the conclusion that there were no models, special effects or hoaxing involved in Meier's films.

Marcel Vogel: Research chemist for IBM for twenty-two years, held thirty-two patents, and invented the magnetic disk coating memory system still used in IBM disk memories. A specialist in the conversion of energy inside crystals, Vogel probed crystalline structures with the most complete optical microscopic equipment available in the world - a system of scanning electron microscopes costing \$250,000. Lieut. Col. Wendelle Stevens, USAF (Ret.): One of the original investigators in the Meier case. In 1979, he sent Vogel crystals and metal samples Meier had received from the Plejarens. Vogel reported, "When I touched the oxide with a stainless steel probe, red streaks appeared and the oxide coating disappeared. I just touched the metal like that, and it started to deoxidize and become a pure metal. I have never seen a phenomenon like that before." Of another metal sample containing nearly every element in the periodic table, Vogel stated, "Each pure element was bonded to each of the others, yet somehow retained its own identity." At 500 X magnification thulium was revealed. "Thulium exists only in minute amounts. It is exceedingly expensive, far beyond platinum, and rare to come by. Someone would have to have an extensive metallurgical knowledge even to be aware of a composition of this type," said Vogel. At 1600 X Vogel said, "A whole new world appears in the specimen. There are structures within structures - very unusual." At 2500 X he found that the sample was, "metal, but at the same time ... it is crystal!"

Vogel put the full weight of his expertise in these summary comments: "With any technology that I know of, we could not achieve this on this planet! ... And I think it is important that those of us who are in the scientific world sit down and do some serious study on these things instead of putting it off as people's imagination." Again, here is another top-level scientific specialist who is unable to duplicate the material presented to him by Meier.

Marcel

Vogel

At the age of **twelve** he had synthesized the chemical compound 3-amino-phthalaz-1-4 dione. This compound, when mixed in water with potassium ferro-cyanide and hydrogen peroxide, produces a chemi-luminescence that matches the light of the firefly. He continued to try to make a set of phosphors that would produce light in a tubular form. This was before the advent of fluorescent lights, but Marcel had seen a white powder of phosphor, in a tube, that would convert the ultra-violet radiation in the tube into a visible form – visible light. This was his main area of interest and even as a grammar school student he visited the Mechanics Institute and translated, from the German, original articles on phosphor chemistry. He then set about duplicating the outlined experiments in his own amateur laboratory.

Marcel had synthesized and manufactured a set of phosphors before he was **fifteen** years old. His vision, at this early age, was that the proper phosphor system would be a rare earth phosphor. The cation, or positively charged ion would be composed of a rare earth compound like Europium, and the anion, or negatively charged ion would consist of a tungstate or silicate structure. These ideas came from the translated scientific papers that were published in the “Analen der Physik” and other German scientific publications of the time. His objective before going to college, based on the answer to his years of prayer from the ages of six to twelve, was to become of phosphor chemist. He was able to see the blending of chemistry and physics that would bring about the advent of solid state physics. As was the case throughout his life, Marcel wanted to be on the forefront of what, in his vision, was the science of the future.

Throughout his high school and college years Marcel systematically researched all the existing publications and papers in the field of luminescence. There were no courses available so he had to teach himself. He majored in chemistry and physics at the University of San Francisco, working at night to fund his education. Unfortunately, due to deteriorating health, he was unable to graduate with his class. From 1940 his education was completed privately with Doctor Peter Pringsheim. The two met when Doctor Pringsheim, a German refugee professor, was attempting to find information about luminescence at the university library. The librarian referred him to a young student, Marcel Vogel, who had apparently read everything in the library on the subject and would be of more value to him than the librarian. Two years later the two men jointly published *The Luminescence of Liquids and Solids and their Practical Application* (Wiley Interscience-1943). This book has since gone through three editions and was translated into German in 1953. It is currently out of print.

After the publication of the book, Vogel Luminescence Corporation was formed. From **1944 to 1957 Marcel pioneered** in the manufacture of fluorescent bulletin paints for outdoor signs and billboards. He created a complete set of artist media fluorescents including fluorescent oil colors, phosphorescent paints, fluorescent chalk, crayons, tempers (day-glo) colors, bulletin paints, invisible ink, tracing and tagging powders used with insecticides detectable with portable black lights, black lights being another Vogel creation. Black light kits were also created for the detection of cancer, rodent contamination, and milk inspection.

With Ralph Benson, **Marcel then published** a paper entitled *Vulvar Fluorescents: The Early Detection of Pregnancy and the Advent of Carcinoma*. **Vogel Luminescence also patented** an egg candler that combined both ultraviolet and visible light to detect the *Pseudomonis* fluorescence bacteria that are present in eggs laid by chickens contaminated with the bacteria.

During this time with Vogel Luminescence Marcel also did part-time consulting work for IBM. **With Ralph Flores and Don Johnson he developed** a magnetic coating formulation that is still in use today on **IBM** hard disks. It was a stable, adhesive coating of magnetic materials for a 24 inch diameter hard disk that demanded a completely new composition of matter.

It should be noted that this magnetic coating did not come about by normal linear science. For many unsuccessful weeks, formula upon formula were created with horrifying results. The coating would fly off the aluminum disk when the drive was turned on or it would bubble up like some pox ridden biological specimen. Finally, at the point of total exhaustion in his 18 to 20 hour workday, Marcel collapsed into sleep at his tiny laboratory. Later, as he groggily awoke, he was in the midst of a dream – a can of molasses floated in the space before him with the words “infinite viscosity” resounding in his ears. He knew immediately what needed to be done. Two supposedly incompatible chemical agents were brought together, the results of which we still use today.

In 1957 Vogel Luminescence was sold to Ultra Violet Products and Marcel joined IBM as a full time research scientist. He was one of the “Big Blue’s” few non-lettered scientists. Such creativity and genius could not be allowed loose on the streets, despite the lack of a diploma. He became one of the most prolific new patent inventors in IBM’s Data Products Division history. Included among the many inventions are patents in the field of magnetic recording media, liquid crystals, and the creation and development of rare earth phosphors. Marcel also was granted many patents in the field of Opto Electronics. This was for work on photo-relays for analog to digital converters, as well as work with rare earth phosphors, which resulted in the development of the red hue for color televisions. His work with liquid crystals helped realize their emergence into everyday life in the form of digital displays on everything from watches to radios. He also received patents for the degassification of liquids, Dark Field Microscopy and its use in surface analysis, organic and inorganic photoconduction and more.

In 1969 Marcel gave a course in creativity for engineers at IBM. It was at this time that he read an article in Argosy magazine entitled “Do Plants Have Emotions?” about the work of polygraph expert Cleve Backster into the responsiveness of plants to human interaction. Despite initial rejection of the concept of human-plant communication, he decided to explore these strange claims.

And now a little bit of information on James Randi, who has claimed that the late research chemist Marcel Vogel "wasn't very smart" and who inferred that Mr. Vogel "bought" his patents:

hat. Ta da.

I once pulled a bunny out of a

Application for \$1,000,000 Award from James Randi (And \$5,000 from CFI-West)

I, Michael Horn, known to countless millions everywhere as the unwavering (t)horn-in-the-side of pseudo-scientists, poseurs, charlatans and frauds everywhere, do hereby make the following predictions and submit them as proof of my paranormal abilities. In so doing, I am applying for all financial awards offered by the James Randi Foundation, CFI-West and any and all other affiliated or non-affiliated individuals, groups and organizations offering such awards for proof of paranormal abilities:

1. I PREDICT that CFI-West will not submit their photographs, that attempt to duplicate Mr. Billy Meier's UFO photos, to the same known, specified, rigorous, scientific standards and protocols Mr. Meier's were.
2. I PREDICT that James Randi will not demand that CFI-West have their pictures thusly tested in order to fulfill the challenge that CFI-West accepted (February 2001), i.e. to duplicate Mr. Meier's "easily duplicated hoaxes", as any objective, principled scientist would emphatically demand.
3. I PREDICT that James Randi and CFI-West will continue to attempt to shift the focus of the original challenge away from CFI-West's failure to meet the challenge.
4. I PREDICT that these predictions will be fulfilled up to and including April 15, 2004, a very taxing day in itself.

The odds against these predictions being fulfilled is actually astronomical since no professional, reputable, credible, scientific, honest and genuinely objective entities would ever allow, encourage or promote such dishonest, slimy and despicable conduct, (i.e. avoidance of fulfillment of the terms, and misdirection and obfuscation, of the challenge they accepted), as a means to avoid meeting its obligations to pay these awards to any parties who have demonstrated paranormal evidence or abilities. Since there is an objective, scientific standard for the paranormal, and since James Randi and CFI-West, among others have offered awards for proof of such, failing to meet the challenge that they accepted in regards to such evidence would constitute proof in and of itself.

I will also, at my own expense, voluntarily promote, publicize and announce the results of my challenge, unlikely as it may be that such predictions could, or would, be fulfilled.

But, unimaginable as it may seem, should the above occur as I have predicted, I would expect the promised sums and awards to be made payable to me, Michael Horn, within 10 days of April 15, 2004.

Signed,

Michael Horn

Accepted for CFI-West by: _____

Accepted for the James Randi Foundation by: _____

March 29, 2004

It's time that you answered just ONE multiple choice question from me:

A.) Billy Meier possesses above-genius level abilities in photography, film making, videography, model making, juggling, special effect, digital effects, ventriloquism, sound recording and engineering, metallurgy, physics, space propulsion technologies, astronomy, history, mathematics, geology, environmental sciences, clairvoyance, mass hypnosis, etc. and also possesses the single-handed ability (no pun intended?) to accomplish all of the aforementioned without financial or material assistance or collaborators.

Or:

B.) He's a genuine UFO contactee.

Rules: Multiple choice means that you must choose one of the possibilities presented. Failure to do so results in the choice automatically being registered, and reported, as B.)

Take your time, this is a toughie.

MH

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

"Amazing" Randi Now Retracts Claim Meier UFO Case Hoaxed! Will Have to Pay \$1,000,000!

www.theyfly.com

LOS ANGELES, CA - In an absolutely stunning reversal of position, one that is sure to leave his skeptical cohorts in disarray and focus new international attention on the case, noted children's magician and professional skeptic the "Amazing" Randi, today effectively withdrew his unsubstantiated, defamatory claims (made on Tuesday, April 15, 2003) that the Billy Meier UFO contact case is a hoax.

In correspondence received by Michael Horn, the Authorized American Media Representative for the Billy Meier Contacts, on Sunday, March 28, 2004, Randi clearly states, "... Now, Michael has divined that I called the Meier case a "hoax." His powers of perception are REMARKABLE; he finds things where they don't exist! Wonderful!"

The staggering implications to his credibility, and that of a whole extended team of professional skeptics and debunkers, who have been publicly defaming Mr. Meier for years, is astounding. At stake, in addition to their reputations, is an award by Randi of \$1,000,000 for proof of the paranormal. Since Randi now withdraws his claim that the Meier case isn't paranormal, it logically (and legally) follows that the award is now due Meier.

While conceding the truthfulness of the Meier case, and thereby obligating himself to a rather substantial pay out, Randi may also be attempting to avoid having even more public attention directed to the failure of his associates at CFI-West, the Los Angeles branch of the international professional skeptics' organization, to duplicate the Meier photographic evidence, a challenge accepted by CFI-West in February 2001.

Undoubtedly concerned by the international criticism generated by CFI-West representative Mr. Vaughn Rees' public refusal, in front of millions of listeners on the Art Bell radio show (March 7, 2004), to submit recently produced photos from CFI-West to the same scientific standards and testing Mr. Meier's were, Randi has effectively ended the challenge to Mr. Meier.

It is clear now that after failing to sully Mr. Meier's reputation Randi has no other means of redeeming his own except by forwarding the \$1,000,000 award to Mr. Meier. There is also a \$5,000 award due Mr. Meier by CFI West since they have failed to duplicate Mr. Meier's photos, which they called "easily duplicated hoaxes".

More importantly, this remarkable development is sure to open the eyes of scientists and other interested people around the world to the authenticity, and actual content, of the Billy Meier contacts.

Michael

I have tried logic with you, which you really don't seem to understand. Either that, or

you are too proud to admit that you are wrong. The only strategy that you have is to repeat the same old tired lies regarding James Randi, you must REALLY hate that man for holding you up to public ridicule, although the garbage that you preach is the root cause of your problems on that score.

Now Michael, as my free time is finite, and as I'm starting to get a little bored with the poor quality of your responses, we are going to try something new. It seems to me that I should not have been rewarding the less accomplished communications that you have been sending to me with a reply. By these, I mean the ones containing lies, and unsubstantiated claims about little green men. After all, if you have a puppy, and it fouls your living room floor, the last thing that you want to do, immediately after the event, is to reward it with a dogie treat, that would encourage it to repeat the offence, I am sure that you agree.

Therefore, in future, I am going to be monitoring your communications with me, and on occasions when I believe that you have made an extra special effort, lets say to understand a logical point, or to tell the truth, I will reward you with some positive words of encouragement. If, on the other hand, your standards remain low you will not get a response.

Now I know that this is harsh, as I am sure that you get much from our discussions, but it is for your OWN GOOD and hopefully will turn you into someone who, is at least able to understand the rudiments of a good argument. Now I wish you good luck and await you next communication, and remember, AIM HIGH !! (although not too high, as you might get hit by a low flying hub cap).

Alexi

Michael <michael@theyfly.com> wrote:
Alexi,

There are no beliefs to clarify, I have none. You are too many steps down the road in regards to the situation, i.e. with Randi and CFI-West, which is the matter at hand. Both parties claimed the case was a hoax, i.e. that this was not paranormal phenomena but, again, an "easily duplicated hoax". Randi has since retracted his claim. CFI-West failed to duplicate the evidence, let alone that they refused to have it tested and examined according to the established, credible standards and procedures that Meier's were. Nothing changes these facts, they are a matter of record.

It's that simple. If you want to get into the "what are they?" discussion I'll be happy to do that at the appropriate time relevant to this situation. The fact is that the skeptics have retracted the claim

by one party and failed to prove their claim by the other. This will be unrelentingly emphasized, promoted, proclaimed, etc. to meet various ends.

I hope this is clear.

MH

> Michael

>

> I am assuming, that you are claiming, that the objects featured on the
> photographs in question, are space ships, originating from some extra
> terrestrial civilisation, with technology far in advance of our
> own. If this is not the case then I have got you completely wrong, in
> which case, please clarify your beliefs with regard to their
> origin. If I am not wrong regarding your stance on this matter, can
> you prove that the beings, piloting the spaceships in question:

>

> 1) Are not small in stature in comparison to human beings.

> 2) Do not have brightly coloured green skin pigmentation.

> 3) Do not originate from a patriarchal civilisation, dominated by a
> species that has two sexes, where the male of the species is more
> likely to be given important jobs, such as off world exploratory
> missions.

>

> The hub cap theory (originated by myself in a couple of minutes) is
> merely one of an infinite number of explanations, which can be brought
> to bear, in order to explain this phenomenon. The explanation that I
> have attributed to you is another one of this infinite number
> of explanations.

>

> That established, lets for the moment, put aside all of the other
> possible explanations and concentrate on the two explanations detailed
> above. Now, how do we decide between them? The only logical,
> scientific method of doing this, that I am aware of, is to apply the
> scientific principle known as Occam's razor to the problem. This
> states that, in virtually all cases, the simplest, most logical,
> explanation of a phenomenon (flying hub caps) is far more likely to be
> the case, than a more elaborate, complex explanation (visitors from
> alien civilisations).

>

> Please explain why you feel the need to endorse the elaborate,
> explanation, that requires reference to new age mythology, over and

> above the efficient, logical explanation that stands up without
> reference to such mythology. These are your keys to the conversation.
>
> Alexi
>
> Michael wrote:
> Alexi,
>
> You wish to be treated with a level of respect you haven't earned. I
> will answer all your questions but first you do the following:
>
> Prove YOUR claim of hub caps.
> Point out where any claims were made by me regarding "little green
> men".
>
> These are your keys to the conversation.
>
> MH
>
>
>> Michael
>>
>> To recap:
>>
>> CASE: photographic evidence of manually launched hub caps.
>> CASE STATUS: STILL awaiting proof of Extra terrestrial origins.
>> CONCLUSIONS / NOTES : In the absence of any compelling evidence
>> of little green men, we have to conclude that hub cap explanation is
>> vastly more plausible than space alien theory (Occam's razor
>> etc).
>>
>> Please forward the evidence that you have proving the existence of
>> aforementioned space aliens, so that the discussion can proceed. I
> can
>> tell that you are finding this difficult Michael, so I am going to
>> give you a couple of pointers.
>>
>> 1) You are letting your bitterness and hatred of James Randi get to
>> you, forget about him, and the million dollar prize, in any case you
>> are in no position to win it at the moment (if ever).
>>
>> 2) From a logical viewpoint you are getting in a bit of a muddle. If
> I
>> were to tell you about my eight foot tall invisible rabbit friend,

>> called Harvey, you would say to me, "Now just hold up a minute,
> Alexi,
>> I don't believe that he exists". I might then say to you "prove that
>> he doesn't exist", at which point you might go off for a couple of
>> minutes, and having thought about the matter, come back and say to
> me
>> "I don't have to prove that he doesn't exist, because you haven't
>> proved that he exists in the first place", and you would have me
> there
>> ! I would have to go off and come up with some compelling evidence
> to
>> prove the existence of Harvey. Sadly this is also the case for space
>> aliens.
>>
>> I look forward to that evidence,
>>
>> Alexi
>>
>> Michael wrote:
>> Amateur, worthy of no more.
>>
>> MH
>>
>>
>>> Michael
>>>
>>> I refer you to my previous replies, and await the proof of little
>>> green
>>> men that you claim to have, so that this discussion may progress. I
>>> apologise if I was mistaken in my supposition that you have such
>>> proof. If this is the case, please come clean now and I will not
>>> ridicule you too much.
>>>
>>> Alexi
>>>
>>>
>>> Michael wrote:
>>> To Whom It May Concern,
>>>
>>> The following is the response from Professor Bhadeshia to my
> inquiry
>> as
>>> seen below. A Mr. Tim [last name removed], who has been paraphrasing and
> parroting

>>> new requests from James Randi, has been representing himself as an
>>> associate of the professor's in regards to initiating a new round
> of
>>> testing on metal alloy samples given to Mr. Billy Meier by his
>>> extraterrestrial contactors, samples of which were tested by Mr.
>> Marcel
>>> Vogel of IBM, and deemed irreproducible, some years ago.
>>>
>>> It is clear from the professor's brief response that there was no
>>> credibility to the claimed association with Mr. Tim [last name removed], nor
> interest
>> on
>>> the professor's part in such a matter.
>>>
>>> It must be publicly stated that, as I had suspected in light of the
>>> somewhat lengthy and demanding correspondence coming from Mr.
> Tim [last name removed],
>>> that he was yet another shill acting on behalf of, or with the
> tacit
>>> approval of James Randi, CFI-West et al. These aforementioned
> parties
>>> are clearly out of compliance with the terms of both their own
>>> challenges and the challenge offered by me, and accepted by
> CFI-West,
>>> some three years ago, a challenge which they have clearly failed to
>>> meet.
>>>
>>> While substantial moneys are, by any ethical and legal standards,
> now
>>> due Mr. Meier, that should not cause, allow or justify the parties
>> who
>>> are responsible to fulfill those payments to engage in devious
> means
>> to
>>> avoid such payment, or to attempt to direct the focus of attention
>> away
>>> from the legitimate matter at hand - which is only the aim of the
>>> professional magician - but which most surely is not acceptable
>> conduct
>>> by any people of good character.
>>>
>>> Therefore, it is once again requested that James Randi and CFI-West
>>> comply with the terms of fulfillment of payment for their failed
>>> challenge and retracted claims of hoax. I request that no further

>>> baiting, and attempts to distract or obfuscate the clear nature of
>> this

>>> very important matter, be conducted by, or on behalf of, James
> Randi

>> or

>>> CFI-West.

>>>

>>> Michael Horn

>>> Authorized American Media Representative

>>> The Billy Meier Contacts

>>> www.theyfly.com

>>>

>>>

>>> From: "H.K.D.H. Bhadeshia"

>>> Date: Wed Mar 31, 2004 12:09:05 AM US/Pacific

>>> To: Michael

>>> Subject: Re: Introduction

>>>

>>> Dear Michael,

>>>

>>> I am sorry but this is not my area of interest.

>>>

>>> Harry Bhadeshia

>>>

>>> www.msm.cam.ac.uk/phase-trans

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Hi Professor Bhadeshia,

>>>

>>> I have been approached by Mr. Tim [last name removed], who says that he is an

>>> associate of yours, regarding testing of metal alloy samples to

>>> determine critical aspects pertaining to their composition and the

>>> technology required to produce them.

>>>

>>> Please let me know what interest you may have in this.

>>>

>>> Sincerely,

>>>

>>> Michael Horn

>>> Authorized American Media Representative

>>> The Billy Meier Contacts

>>> www.theyfly.com

>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Michael
>>>>
>>>> I refer you to my previous reply, and await the proof of little
>> green
>>>> men that you claim to have, so that this discussion may progress.
>>>>
>>>> Alexi
>>>>
>>>> Michaelwrote:
>>>> Application for \$1,000,000 Award from James Randi
>>>> (And \$5,000 from CFI-West)
>>>>
>>>> I, Michael Horn, known to countless millions everywhere as the
>>>> unwavering (t)horn-in-the-side of pseudo-scientists, poseurs,
>>>> charlatans and frauds everywhere, do hereby make the following
>>>> predictions and submit them as proof of my paranormal abilities.
> In
>>> so
>>>> doing, I am applying for all financial awards offered by the
> James
>>>> Randi Foundation, CFI-West and any and all other affiliated or
>>>> non-affiliated individuals, groups and organizations offering
> such
>>>> awards for proof of paranormal abilities:
>>>>
>>>> 1. I PREDICT that CFI-West will not submit their photographs,
> that
>>>> attempt to duplicate Mr. Billy Meier's UFO photos, to the same
>> known,
>>>> specified, rigorous, scientific standards and protocols Mr.
> Meier's
>>>> were.
>>>>
>>>> 2. I PREDICT that James Randi will not demand that CFI-West have
>>> their
>>>> pictures thusly tested in order to fulfill the challenge that
>>> CFI-West
>>>> accepted (February 2001), i.e. to duplicate Mr. Meier's "easily
>>>> duplicated hoaxes", as any objective, principled scientist would
>>>> emphatically demand.

>>>>
>>>> 3. I PREDICT that James Randi and CFI-West will continue to
> attempt
>>> to
>>>> shift the focus of the original challenge away from CFI-West's
>>> failure
>>>> to meet the challenge.
>>>>
>>>> 4. I PREDICT that these predictions will be fulfilled up to and
>>>> including April 15, 2004, a very taxing day in itself.
>>>>
>>>> The odds against these predictions being fulfilled is actually
>>>> astronomical since no professional, reputable, credible,
>> scientific,
>>>> honest and genuinely objective entities would ever allow,
> encourage
>>> or
>>>> promote such dishonest, slimy and despicable conduct, (i.e.
>> avoidance
>>>> of fulfillment of the terms, and misdirection and obfuscation, of
>> the
>>>> challenge they accepted), as a means to avoid meeting its
>> obligations
>>>> to pay these awards to any parties who have demonstrated
> paranormal
>>>> evidence or abilities. Since there is an objective, scientific
>>> standard
>>>> for the paranormal, and since James Randi and CFI-West, among
>> others
>>>> have offered awards for proof of such, failing to meet the
>> challenge
>>>> that they accepted in regards to such evidence would constitute
>> proof
>>>> in and of itself.
>>>>
>>>> I will also, at my own expense, voluntarily promote, publicize
> and
>>>> announce the results of my challenge, unlikely as it may be that
>> such
>>>> predictions could, or would, be fulfilled.
>>>>
>>>> But, unimaginable as it may seem, should the above occur as I
> have
>>>> predicted, I would expect the promised sums and awards to be made

>>>> payable to me, Michael Horn, within 10 days of April 15, 2004.
>>>>
>>>> Signed,
>>>>
>>>> Michael Horn
>>>>
>>>> Accepted for CFI-West by:_____

>>>>
>>>> Accepted for the James Randi
>>>> Foundation:_____

>>>>
>>>> March 29, 2004
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Michael
>>>>>
>>>>> CASE: photographic evidence of manually launched hub caps.
>>>>>
>>>>> CASE STATUS: STILL awaiting proof of Extra terrestrial
> origins.
>>>>>
>>>>> CONCLUSIONS / NOTES : In the absence of any compelling evidence
>> of
>>>>> little green men, we have to conclude that hub cap explanation
> is
>>>>> vastly more plausible than space alien theory (Occam's razor
>> etc).
>>>>>
>>>>> The ball is still firmly in your court, Michael. Or should I
> say
>>> that
>>>>> the Frisbee is still firmly in your area (hope it's not area
> 51,
>> ha
>>>>> ha). Look forward to hearing from you when you have some
>> evidence.
>>>>>
>>>>> Alexi
>>>>>
>>>>> Michaelwrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Alexi
>>>>>
>>>>> You are a slow learner but that's no crime.

>>>>>
>>>>> One more time:
>>>>>
>>>>> Prove that they are hub caps.
>>>>> Use more than a "couple of minutes" to do your homework.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes, you missed plenty:
>>>>>
>>>>> James Randi claimed that the Meier case is a hoax.
>>>>> James Randi withdrew that claim.
>>>>> James Randi offers big reward for proof of paranormal.
>>>>> If Meier case is not a hoax then it is paranormal.
>>>>> James Randi needs to write a check for \$1,000,000.
>>>>>
>>>>> CFI-West claimed Meier photos were hoaxed.
>>>>> CFI-West ACCEPTED challenge to duplicate them.
>>>>> CFI-West failed to duplicate them.
>>>>> CFI_West refused to have them tested.
>>>>> CFI-West owes Meier \$5,000.
>>>>>
>>>>> You have no arguments to make, you don't understand logic,
>>> protocols
>>>> or
>>>>> integrity, you only offer me an exercise in writing. I don't
> need
>>> any
>>>>> more exercise. You need education. Focus on that.
>>>>>
>>>>> MH
>>>>>
>>>>>> Michael
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The assertion regarding the origins of your photographed
>> objects
>>> is
>>>>>>> mine, since it is the most logical and plausible one that I
> can
>>>>> come
>>>>>>> up with, after a couple of minutes consideration, why are you
>> so
>>>>>>> desperate to attribute these objects to the little green
> men?
>>> you
>>>>>> are

>>>>> very sad. Have you ever watched the TV programme Space 1999?,
>>> they
>>>>> had
>>>>> much better hub cap like objects than those portrayed in
>> Billy's
>>>>> photos.
>>>>>
>>>>> I really don't follow the deluded, illogical argument that
> you
>>> are
>>>>> using in order to claim that James Randi owes you money, I
> must
>>>> have
>>>>> missed something. My sex is not really relevant to this
>>> discussion,
>>>>> you really should concentrate on the arguments that I am
>> making.
>>>>> However, if it really troubles you then it is male.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Alexi
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Michael wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Alexi,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Please clarify. Are you, on behalf of Randi or yourself,
> again
>>>>>> CLAIMING
>>>>>> that Meier's photos are of "flying hub caps"? If so, please
>>>>>> substantiate, i.e. prove, your assertion. Apparently you are
>>>>>> strengthening my argument that Randi and company indeed HAVE
>>>> claimed
>>>>>> that the Meier case is a hoax. Since he retracted that claim
> he
>>> is
>>>>> now
>>>>>> obligated to pay up. Very simple. Put up or pay up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> By the way, didn't you pay attention? I have already stated
>> that
>>>> they
>>>>>> shouldn't send a boy/girl to do a man's/woman's job.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> MH

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Michael
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If you want the million dollars, being offered by James
>> Randi,
>>>> why
>>>>>> not
>>>>>>> just PROVE, once and for all, that you, or Billy Meier,
> have
>>>>>>> witnessed spaceships, originating from alien extra
>> terrestrial
>>>>>>> civilisations. Photographs of flying hub caps do NOT prove
>> that
>>>>>>> assertion. There is absolutely NO need for Mr Randi to
> prove
>>> OUT
>>>> of
>>>>>>> existence something that you and your friends have not
> proved
>>>> INTO
>>>>>>> existence in the first place.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Alexi
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Michael wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> To all of you who have been writing your concerns about the
>>>>> ongoing
>>>>>>>> debate regarding the Billy Meier UFO Contacts and the
>>> challenges
>>>>> from
>>>>>>>> CFI-West and James Randi, this should end the debate and
> open
>>> up
>>>>>>>> entirely new levels of discussion. I wish to thank those of
>> you
>>>>> who
>>>>>>>> have supported the authenticity of the case based on its
>> rather
>>>>>>>> substantial evidence and proof.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> To those of you who have been skills for the hapless and
>> inept

>>>>>> skeptics, please direct any further concerns regarding this
>>>> manner
>>>>> to
>>>>>> Randi, as he has now effectively withdrawn his claims and,
> as
>>>> Desi
>>>>>> often said to Lucy, he's "got some 'splainin' to do!".
> Since
>>>> Randi
>>>>>> now
>>>>>>> accepts the legitimacy of the Meier case, your issue is
> with
>>> him
>>>>> and
>>>>>> I
>>>>>>> will not respond further to any of your questions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Any further information you may desire in order to educate
>>>>> yourselves
>>>>>>>> regarding the content of the Meier contacts can be found,
> for
>>>> free,
>>>>>>> at:
>>>>>>>> www.theyfly.com Please feel free to post the following
>> widely:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Amazing" Randi Now Retracts Claim Meier UFO Case Hoaxed!
>> Will
>>>> Have
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>> Pay \$1,000,000!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> www.theyfly.com
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> LOS ANGELES, CA - In an absolutely stunning reversal of
>>> position,
>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>>>> that is sure to leave his skeptical cohorts in disarray and
>>> focus
>>>>>>> new
>>>>>>>>> international attention on the case, noted children's
>> magician

>>>> and
>>>>>> professional skeptic the "Amazing" Randi, today effectively
>>>>> withdrew
>>>>>> his unsubstantiated, defamatory claims (made on Tuesday,
>> April
>>>> 15,
>>>>>> 2003) that the Billy Meier UFO contact case is a hoax.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> In correspondence received by Michael Horn, the Authorized
>>>> American
>>>>>>> Media Representative for the Billy Meier Contacts, on
> Sunday,
>>>> March
>>>>>> 28,
>>>>>>> 2004, Randi clearly states, "... Now, Michael has divined
>> that
>>> I
>>>>>>> called
>>>>>>> the Meier case a "hoax." His powers of perception are
>>> REMARKABLE;
>>>>> he
>>>>>>> finds things where they don't exist! Wonderful!"
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> The staggering implications to his credibility, and that
> of a
>>>> whole
>>>>>>> extended team of professional skeptics and debunkers, who
>> have
>>>> been
>>>>>>>> publicly defaming Mr. Meier for years, is astounding. At
>> stake,
>>>> in
>>>>>>>> addition to their reputations, is an award by Randi of
>>> \$1,000,000
>>>>> for
>>>>>>>> proof of the paranormal. Since Randi now withdraws his
> claim
>>> that
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> Meier case isn't paranormal, it logically (and legally)
>> follows
>>>>>> that
>>>>>>>> the award is now due Meier.
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>> While conceding the truthfulness of the Meier case, and
>> thereby
>>>>>> obligating himself to a rather substantial pay out, Randi
> may
>>>> also
>>>>> be
>>>>>> attempting to avoid having even more public attention